

Polymer Composites Containing Gated Mesoporous Materials for On-Command Controlled Release

Carolina Acosta,^{*,†,‡} Edgar Pérez-Esteve,^{†,‡} Carlos A. Fuenmayor,^{||} Simona Benedetti,^{||} Maria Stella Cosio,^{||} Juan Soto,[‡] Félix Sancenón,^{‡,§} Saverio Mannino,^{||} José Barat,[†] María D. Marcos,^{‡,§} and Ramón Martínez-Máñez^{‡,§}

[†]Grupo de Investigación e Innovación alimentaria (CUINA), Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, 46022 Valencia, Spain

[‡]Centro de Reconocimiento Molecular y Desarrollo Tecnológico (IDM), Unidad Mixta, Universidad de Valencia and Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, 46022 Valencia, Spain


[§]CIBER de Bioingeniería, Biomateriales y Nanomedicina (CIBER-BBN), 50018 Zaragoza, Spain

^{||}Department of Food, Environmental and Nutritional Sciences—DeDENS, University of Milan, 20122 Milano, Italy

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Polyamidic nanofibrous membranes containing gated silica mesoporous particles, acting as carriers, are described as novel hybrid composite materials for encapsulation and on-command delivery of garlic extracts. The carrier system consists of MCM-41 solids functionalized in the outer surface, with linear polyamines (solid P1) and with hydrolyzed starch (solid P2), both acting as molecular gates. Those particles were adsorbed on electrospun nylon-6 nanofibrous membranes yielding to composite materials M1 and M2. FE-SEM analysis confirmed the presence of particles incorporated on the nylon nanofibers. The release of the entrapped molecules (garlic extract) from the P1, P2, M1, and M2 materials was evaluated using cyclic voltammetry measurements. Electrochemical studies showed that at acidic pH P1 and M1 were unable to release their entrapped cargo (closed gate), whereas at neutral pH both materials release their loading (open gate). Dealing with P2 and M2 materials, in the absence of pancreatin a negligible release is observed (closed gate), whereas in the presence of enzyme the load is freely to diffuse to the solution. These newly developed composite nanomaterials, provide a homogeneous easy-to-handle system with controlled delivery and bioactive-protective features, having potential applications on pharmacology, medical and engineering fields

KEYWORDS: composites, on-command delivery, mesoporous, electrospun nanofibers, hybrid material



INTRODUCTION

In recent years, anchoring organic or biological molecules on certain inorganic supports has resulted in the design of hybrid materials that show advanced cooperative functional behaviors.^{1,2} One appealing concept in this area is related with the design of gated supports for advanced delivery applications.^{3,4} These new materials contain switchable molecular-based entities which control the on-command release of previously entrapped guests. These gated materials are based on the combination of two components: (i) a suitable inorganic support acting as a container (for loading the cargo) and (ii) a switchable “gatelike” ensemble able to be “opened” upon the application or the presence of a predefined stimulus.⁵ Selection of both components is important and determines the controlled release performance of the final support.

As inorganic scaffolds, mesoporous silica of different pore sizes and morphologies have been widely used.^{6–8} Mesoporous supports can be prepared in different forms (from nanometric to micrometric) with tailor-made pores in the 2–10 nm range. Moreover they have a very high specific surface area (up to

1200 m² g⁻¹), have homogeneous porosity, high inertness, a large loading capacity and are easy to functionalize.⁹ These properties make silica mesoporous structures ideal candidates as scaffolds for delivery applications. In relation to the gated ensemble, currently several molecular, supramolecular, and nanoparticulated systems have been used. Those systems are able to deliver the entrapped cargo using several external stimuli, such as light,^{10,11} pH,^{12,13} changes in redox potential,^{14,15} temperature,^{16,17} and the presence of certain ions, molecules, or biomolecules.^{18–20}

In particular, the design of gated mesoporous materials have proved to be a promising starting point for applying the versatility of molecular and supramolecular concepts to the design of gating solids, and a way of studying the factors that can influence the design of molecular gating functions with advanced delivery functionalities. These concepts contrast with

Received: December 23, 2013

Accepted: April 8, 2014

Published: April 8, 2014

the design of traditional delivery systems, which are often based on simple diffusion controlled processes.²¹

On the other hand, nanofibers are especially appealing for the development of novel composites with potential applications on areas such as vascularization, cell migration and attachment processes.^{22–24} There are a number of different processing techniques used for the synthesis of nanofibers including drawing, self-assembly, or phase separation; however electrospinning is probably the most widely studied.²⁵ Electrospun nanofibers have surface properties that can be specially tailored to adjust and control porosity, composition, and morphology, with a highly controllable three-dimensional structure and high surface area-to-volume ratios, for providing support on the inclusion of antimicrobial agents, drugs, flavors, colors, antioxidants, enzymes, and other functional compounds.^{26–28} Nanofibers have also been used in delivery applications; however there are few examples where release only occurs triggered by specific and selected stimuli, and as stated above, sustained delivery is still the most common principle.

Very recently, nanofibers that incorporate different types of silica mesoporous materials have been prepared and characterized.^{29–31} However, in these papers only the synthesis and the physical properties of the prepared fabrics are studied.

Taking into account the above mentioned facts, we believe that a new range of potential applications can be envisioned by the combination of nanofibers to support gated silica mesoporous particles to obtain composites with the potential ability to deliver a certain cargo upon the application of target stimuli while cargo is protected until its specific delivery. To achieve this goal and as a proof of concept, we have selected for this particular work two mesoporous systems able to protect and deliver the cargo upon changes in the pH or in the presence of a target enzyme (vide infra).^{32,33} Those mesoporous scaffolds are then supported on nylon-6 nanofibers to provide a homogenous material for delivery.

As cargo we selected a garlic extract whose bioactive components have been reported to have antimicrobial, antiatherosclerotic and antioxidative properties.^{34,35} Garlic extract contain among other substances alicin and diallyl disulfide, organosulfurs responsible of the functional properties of garlic. However, some of these functional compounds from garlic extract are unstable and have sensorial trouble for certain applications. In this context, the design of supports able to protect the cargo and induce delivery on-command is of importance.

■ EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

All chemicals were purchased at the highest grade available and used directly without any further purification. Diallyldisulfide (tech., 80%) and NaClO₄ (ACS Reagent, 98%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, NaBr was purchased from May and Baker Ltd, U.K. All solutions were prepared with acetonitrile (HPLC Gradient grade, Fisher Scientific) and deionized water of resistivity not less than 18.2 MU/cm-1 at 298 K (Millipore UHQ, Vivendi, U.K.). The chemicals nylon-6, formic acid (98%), tetraethylorthosilicate (TEOS), *n*-cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB), sodium hydroxide, triethanolamine (TEAH3), 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane, 3-[2-(2-aminoethylamino) ethylamino]-propyl-trimethoxysilane and pancreatin from porcine pancreas were provided by Aldrich. The hydrolyzed starch Glucidex 47 (5% glucose, 50% maltose, 45% oligosaccharides and polysaccharides) was provided by Roquette.

Synthesis of Mesoporous Silica Microparticles MCM-41. The mesoporous MCM-41 support, was first synthesized by "atran

route"³⁶ in which 4.68 g of CTAB was added at 118 °C to a solution of TEAH3 (25.79 g) containing 0.045 mol of a silatrane derivative (TEOS, 11 mL). Next, 80 mL of water was slowly added with vigorous stirring at 70 °C. After a few minutes, a white suspension was formed. This mixture was aged at room temperature overnight. The resulting powder was collected by filtration and washed. Solid was dried at 70 °C and, finally, to remove the template phase, was calcined at 550 °C for 5 h using an oxidant atmosphere.

Synthesis of Starch Derivative (Glu-N1). A solution of 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane (N1, 5.85 mL, 25 mmol) was added to a suspension of hydrolyzed starch (Glucidex 47) in ethanol. The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at room temperature and heated at 60 °C for 30 min. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure.

Garlic Bioactive Compounds Extraction. Garlic was obtained in local market. The garlic cloves were peeled and chopped. A certain amount of acetonitrile was used to crush the garlic and get a sample with a garlic acetonitrile relation of 1:10 w/v where principal organosulfures were extracted. Solution was shaken by vortex for 3-5 min and then filtered and kept at 4 °C.

Garlic Bioactive Compounds Loading (P0). Mesoporous particle MCM-41 (100 mg) was suspended in 40 mL of garlic acetonitrile extract inside a round-bottom flask. The mixture was stirred for 24 h at room temperature. This mixture was filtered and dried at room temperature for 12 h.

Synthesis of P1. An excess of 3-[2-(2-aminoethylamino)-ethylamino]-propyl-trimethoxysilane (N3, 0.43 mL) was added to 0.1 g P0 in 40 ml acetonitrile. The final mixture was stirred for 5.5 h at room temperature in an inert atmosphere of nitrogen. Solid was filtered and washed with acid solution at pH 2.0 (acidified with sulfuric acid) and dried for 12 h at 35 °C.


Synthesis of P2. Glu-N1 was added to P0 in a 1:1 w/w relation. The final mixture was stirred for 5.5 h at room temperature under argon. The solid was filtered and washed with deionized water, and dried at for 12 h at 35 °C.

Particle Immobilization: Composites M1 and M2. Polymer solution was made with 8 g of nylon-6 pellets dissolved in 26.6 mL of a formic acid (88 %) aqueous solution. The dispersion obtained was stirred for about 24 h to make a clear sol-gel. Then 80 mg of solid (P1 for membrane M1 and P2 for membrane M2) were added and stirred for 5 h at 300 rpm. 5 mL syringe (Hamilton) was filled with the entire composite polymer solution and placed in a KDP100 syringe pump (KD-Scientific) at a flow rate of 0.20 mL min⁻¹. The needle of the syringe was linked to the Spellman SL150 high voltage power supply by an alligator clip, while a copper lamina, positioned at 11 cm in front of the needle, was used as collector and grounded. The electrical potential was set at 25 kV. Production time of a single membrane was stopped at 10 min.

Release Studies. Aqueous suspensions of the four materials were stirred for 5 h at 200 rpm. Each hour an aliquot from solution was taken, passed through nylon 0.45 μm filters and then evaluated by cyclic voltammetry. Delivery sample was constituted by 12 mg of solid P1 or P2 and 30 cm² for M1 or M2 and suspended in 30 mL of the corresponding release solution. Delivery studies of solid P1 and membrane M1 were carried out in aqueous solution containing sulfate anion (10⁻² M) and at pH 7.0 and pH 2.0. Solid P2 and membrane M2 were suspended in aqueous solutions at pH 7.0 in the absence and in the presence of pancreatin.

Cyclic Voltammetry. A CHI 1010 Electrochemical Analyzer (CH Instruments, Austin Texas) with a three electrode setup consisting of a glassy carbon working electrode, platinum auxiliary electrode and an Ag/AgCl reference electrode was employed. Cyclic voltammogram experiments were acquired with a scan rate of 50 mV s⁻¹ and were obtained by running a series of FIA. Experiments in which the potential was stepped incrementally from -0.1 to +1.5 V (vs Ag/AgCl) and the current was measured.

Experiments were performed in aqueous reference solution with acetonitrile (50:50), sodium bromide 15 mM in 0.1 M of sodium perchlorate.³⁷ The measure solution has a 1:1 v/v ratio between reference solution (blank) and sample.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of Mesoporous Particles P1 (Capped with N3) and P2 (Capped with Glu-N1)^a

^aPreparation of the composites M1 and M2 is also shown.

Characterization of Materials. PXRD measurements were performed on a Seifert 3000TT diffractometer using Cu K α radiation. Field emission scanning electron microscope images were acquired by FE-SEM ULTRA 55-44-22, evaluated by secondary (SE2) and backscattered electrons (AsB) detectors. Samples were coated with platinum and examined at 5 kV. An X-ray EDS detector was used for qualitative elements analysis. Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) studies were conducted at 25 °C using a Malvern Zetasizer Nano ZS. Backscattered light was detected at 173°, and the mean particle diameter was calculated from the quadratic fitting of the correlation function over 3 runs of 10 s duration. All measurements were performed in triplicate on previously sonicated highly dilute aqueous dispersions. Thermogravimetric analyses were carried out on a TGA/SDTA 851e Mettler Toledo balance, using an oxidant atmosphere (air, 80 mL min⁻¹) with a heating program consisting of a heating ramp of 10 °C per minute from 393 to 1273 K and an isothermal heating step at this temperature for 30 min. TEM images were obtained with a 100 kV Philips CM10 microscope. N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms were recorded with a Micromeritics ASAP2010 automated sorption analyzer. The samples were degassed at 120 °C in vacuum overnight. The specific surface areas were calculated from the adsorption data in the low pressure range using the BET model.³⁸

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION


Gated Materials. In this approach, MCM-41 was used as inorganic scaffold in the form of microparticles. The prepared MCM-41 support contains mesopores in the 2–3 nm range, which allow the encapsulation of certain guests. In relation to the capping component two previously reported gates were selected; one based in the use of linear polyamines (for the preparation of P1 material)³³ and other based in the use of hydrolyzed starch (for the preparation of P2 material).³²

Scheme 1 shows the proposed paradigm for the preparation of the gated materials.

For the synthesis of the pH-responsive gated material (P1) the derivative 3-[2-(2-aminoethylamino)-ethylamino]propyl-trimethoxysilane (N3) was selected as simple, yet suitable, open-chain molecular pH-responsive system that was anchored through covalent bonds on the pore outlets of the MCM-41 support. For the preparation of the enzyme-responsive material (P2) the commercially available hydrolyzed starch Glucidex 47 was selected. The hydrolyzed starch was properly derivatized, through reaction with 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane (N1), to yield the alkoxy silane derivative (Glu-N1), which was then anchored on the external surface of the MCM-41 support.³²

In the P1 solid changes in the pH control the state of the gate (open or closed). In particular, open-closed cycle relies on protonation/deprotonation processes of the grafted polyamines. At acidic pH, the nitrogen atoms of the polyamines are fully protonated and strong electrostatic repulsions between the grafted polyamines occur. These strong repulsions pushed away the protonated polyamines blocking the pores of the inorganic support and, as a consequence, inhibit cargo release. Also certain anion-controlled outcome is observed because negatively charged anions interact with the positively charged ammonium moieties leading to a more pronounced pore blocking. At neutral pH the polyamines are only partly deprotonated and the electrostatic repulsions are highly diminished. This allow pore opening because of the more flexible conformational of polyamines (when compared with polyammonium) with the subsequent cargo release.

Scheme 2. Mechanism of pH and Enzyme-Induced Release of the Entrapped Cargo from P1 and P2



In solid **P2**, the opening mechanism deals with an enzymatic hydrolysis of the grafted starch, which acts as molecular gate. The anchoring of the saccharides inhibits cargo delivery due to the formation, around the pore outlets, of a dense monolayer of starch molecules. In the presence of pancreatin (a pool of enzymes that contain amylase), the 1 → 4 glycosidic bond between β -D-glucoses present in the starch is hydrolyzed with the subsequent uncapping of the pores and cargo delivery. Scheme 2 shows the release mechanism.

Composite Materials. The final goal of this work was to incorporate the materials **P1** and **P2** on nanofibers as a suitable approach for the development of composite fabrics able to deliver the cargo upon the presence of specific stimuli. Polymer solutions were made based on one of the most standardized protocols.^{39,40} Nylon-6 pellets were dissolved in an aqueous solution containing formic acid. The dispersion obtained was stirred for ~24 h to make a clear sol–gel. Then 80 mg of solids **P1** or **P2** were added and the mixture was stirred. The final composites **M1** (containing solid **P1**) and **M2** (containing solid **P2**) were obtained by exposition of the prepared mixtures on an electrical field using the well-known electrospinning technique and collected as non-woven membranes on a plate that acts as the counter electrode.²²

Materials Characterization. The characterization of the materials **P1** and **P2** was performed using well-known techniques. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns of the prepared silica mesoporous MCM-41 solids are shown in Figure 1. The PXRD of siliceous as synthesized MCM-41 shows four low-angle reflections typical of a hexagonal array that can be indexed as (100), (110), (200), and (210). A significant shift of the (100) reflection in the PXRD of the MCM-41 calcined sample is clearly observed (curve b in Figure 1) corresponding to an approximate cell contraction of ~5 Å. This displacement and the broadening of the (110) and (200) peaks are most likely related to condensation of silanols during the calcination step, when CTAB is removed. In the case of **P1** and **P2**, the PXRD pattern (curve c and d, in Figure 1) only shows the characteristic (100) reflection. The presence of this peak indicates that the mesoporous structure was preserved through the filling process with the garlic extract and the anchoring of molecular gates.




Figure 1. Powder X-ray patterns of (a) as-synthesized MCM-41, (b) calcined MCM-41, (c) solid **P2**, and (d) solid **P1**.

Figure 2 shows TEM images of MCM-41 solid support showing the typical porosity associated with this type of inorganic support. The images also show that the mesoporous solid was obtained as micrometric particles. In fact dynamic light scattering (DLS) studies carried out with the starting MCM-41 confirmed the presence of micrometric particles with a mean average diameter of ~1 μ m (see Figure 2).

N_2 adsorption–desorption isotherms of the MCM-41 calcined phase shows typical curves consisting of one single adsorption step at the intermediate P/P_0 value (0.1–0.4) which can be related to the nitrogen condensation inside the mesopores by capillarity, as corresponds to a type IV isotherm (see Figure 3). The absence of a hysteresis loop in this interval and the narrow pore distribution suggests the existence of uniform cylindrical mesopores (2.71 nm, 0.88 $cm^3 g^{-1}$). The application of the BET model to calcined material gave a value for the total specific surface of 979.6 $m^2 g^{-1}$. In contrast, the N_2 adsorption–desorption isotherms of the loaded solid **P0** and the final functionalized solids (**P1** and **P2**), show in each case a flat curves with specific surfaces of 220, 100, and 193 $m^2 g^{-1}$ and pore volumes of 0.25, 0.17, and 0.19 $cm^3 g^{-1}$ for **P0**, **P1**




Figure 2. (a) TEM image of MCM-41 particles and (b) statistical representation of particle size of MCM-41 obtained by DLS (dynamic light scattering) studies.




Figure 3. Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms: (◆) MCM-41 calcined material, (●) P0 solid, (■), P1 solid, and (▲) P2 solid.

and P2, respectively. This not only shows an appreciable absence of mesoporosity but also indicates a significant pore blocking due molecular gates anchorage being as decrease of specific surface and volume pore from solid loaded P0 to final functionalized solids P1 and P2.

The organic contents in the loaded solid were determined through thermogravimetric studies. TGA curves showed a

weight loss between 100–600 °C because of the organic matter combustion corresponding to the entrapped cargo in P0 and, in the case of P1 and P2, corresponding to the entrapped cargo and the molecular gate. In particular, the amount of organic matter in the MCM-41 scaffolding loaded with the garlic extract was of ca. 0.18 g garlic extract/g SiO₂, whereas the final gated materials P1 and P2 have a content of organic matter of 0.38 and 0.25 g/g SiO₂, respectively. (See Supporting Information S1 for TGA curves.)

The morphology of composites M1 and M2 was studied by means of FE-SEM. Micrographs of the nanofibrous structures are shown in Figure 4. Nylon-6 ultrathin fibers, with an average thickness of 160 nm (Figure 4a), exhibited a non-woven arrangement. Several chain entanglements can be observed, which results in a highly porous structure. The absence of beads and fiber bundles indicated that the electrospinning conditions were adequate for a proper and stable solvent evaporation. FE-SEM images of M1 and M2 (Figure 4b) show the presence of entrapped P1 and P2 particles, distributed randomly across the nanofibrous matrix. The gated silica particles appear to be partially wrapped in the fibers external surface, suggesting the occurrence of relatively strong adhesive forces.⁴¹ The presence of hydrogen bonding interactions between the polyamide chains of the fibers and the capping molecules covering P1 and P2 (polyamines and polysaccharides, respectively) cannot be excluded. The formation of covalent bonds between the fibers and the capping molecules is unlikely considering the




Figure 4. Representative FE-SEM images of (a) nylon 6 nanofiber, (b) composite with entangled microparticles before the released procedure, and (c) the same composite after the release procedure.




Figure 5. Efficiency delivery curves of diallyl disulfide (DAD) in garlic extract from pH-sensitive materials (a) P1 particles and (b) M1 composites. Release at pH 7.0 (■) and 2.0 (▲).




Figure 6. Delivery of garlic extract from enzyme-response materials (a) P2 particles and (b) M2 composite. Release in the presence of pancreatine (■) and release in the enzyme absence (▲).

preparation conditions for the final M1 and M2 composites. It should be noticed that, regardless the nature of the interactions occurring between particles and fibers, the adhesion forces seem to be strong enough for maintaining the composite structure together after the release procedure (Figure 4c). This was confirmed by FE-SEM-EDX studies that showed the presence of silicon in the composite membranes after release completion, and even after long-term (24 h) soaking, in the different release environments tested. (See Supporting Information S2 figures for EDX spectra.)

Controlled Release Behavior. Controlled release studies were performed on P1, P2 and M1, M2. Cargo delivery was monitored by cyclic voltammetry studies using a reported procedure³⁷ based in the detection of disulfides (diallyl disulfide, DAD, is one of the major components of the garlic extract) in the presence of bromide. The detection is based on the electrogeneration of bromine on a carbon electrode,^{34,37} which reacts with disulfides to catalytically regenerate bromide. This redox reaction induced the appearance of a peak in the voltammogram in the 1.0–1.2 V range,³⁷ whose intensity is

proportional to the amount of disulfides present in the garlic extract. (See Supporting Information S3 for voltammetric evaluations).

In a first step, controlled release features of the pH-sensitive materials P1 and M1 were evaluated. In a typical experiment 12.5 mg of P1 or 80 mg of M1 were suspended in 25 mL of water at pH 2.0 and pH 7.0 (in the presence of sulfates). Then at certain time intervals, fractions of both suspensions were taken and the solid (P1 or M1) was removed. Cargo delivery into the solution was then measured via the electrochemical procedure described above. Diallyl disulfide delivery process was followed by voltammetric responses of the clear solutions. In Figure 5, the delivery profiles at pH 2.0 and 7.0 for P1 and M1 are displayed. The results have been represented as the delivery efficiency, the percentage of the voltammetry signal of each aliquot relative to the signal that would be obtained for the complete delivery of the cargo for each material.

From Figure 5 it can be seen that aqueous suspensions of solid P1 at pH 2.0 show a poor release of the garlic extract even after some hours in solution (see Figure 5a). This very low

release is clearly related with the presence of the polyamine-based gated ensemble. However, when the experiments are carried out in water suspensions at pH 7.0, a release of the cargo is observed as indicated by the time-dependent enhancement of the intensity of the oxidation peak in the 1.0–1.2 V range. In the case of composite **M1**, similar delivery profiles have been obtained this fact clearly indicating that the release process has not been modified when the microcarriers have been dispersed into the membrane. As it can be seen in the FE-SEM images (Figure 4) the particles are not embedded within the fibers but only located on the fiber outer surfaces, so the gated mesopores of the microcarriers are accessible and the opening/closing mechanism is fully operational. However, some differences between **P1** and **M1** delivery profiles may be observed. Release from **M1** composite reaches a lower efficiency and the process looks slower, than for **P1** solid. The curve for **M1** does not clearly reach the saturation as it does for **P1** delivery. This fact could be related with some kinetic difficulties for the cargo to be delivered from the **M1** composite due to the intricate morphology of the membrane.

Similar delivery experiments were carried out with materials **P2** and **M2**. In particular 12.5 mg of **P2** or 80 mg of **M2** were suspended in 25 mL of water in the presence and in the absence of pancreatin at neutral pH. Then, at a certain time aliquots of both suspensions were taken and the solid materials (**P2** or **M2**) removed. As in the above case cargo release was measured via the electrochemical procedure described previously.

The delivery profiles for **P2** and **M2** in the presence and absence of pancreatin are displayed in Figure 6. Suspensions of **P2** at neutral pH in the absence of enzyme shows no delivery of the garlic extract which is attributed to the presence of the starch derivative anchored on the external surface of the mesoporous material. In contrast, when the experiments are carried out in the presence of pancreatin a clear release of the cargo is observed and attributed to the enzyme-induced hydrolysis of glycosidic bonds of the grafted polysaccharides. In the case of **M2**, the same basic behavior can be observed, the lack of delivery in the absence of the enzyme and the delivery when the enzyme is present, so the release mechanism may not be affected by the fact of having the micro-carriers embedded into the membrane. However, in this case we can observe a higher difference in the delivery speed for **M2** when comparing with **P2** than for the **P1/M1** system. This, in fact supports the explanation given above for the **M1** behavior. As in the case of **M2** composite the mechanism for opening the gate is more complex than for **M1** because it needs the enzyme to get the micro-carrier surface in order to get the gate open, the intricate morphology of the composite produce a higher effect giving rise to a more pronounced slowdown of the whole process.

This slightly different behavior of the composites **M1** and **M2** respect to the parent **P1** and **P2** materials could be seen as an appropriate method to get a better control of the delivery process, enhancing the closed conditions and allowing a more maintained released of the cargo.

CONCLUSIONS

Two new composite materials (**M1** and **M2**) based on the incorporation of two gated silica mesoporous hybrid solids (**P1** and **P2**) on a electrospun polyamidic nanofiber have been prepared and their controlled release behaviour studied. Those composites presented a fibrous structure with entangled microparticles. At pH 2.0 aqueous suspensions of **M1** showed

negligible release of the entrapped extract whereas when the pH is increased to 7.0 a clear cargo delivery from **M1** is observed. In the case of **M2**, the mesoporous gated microparticles are equipped with a polysaccharide able to inhibit cargo release. Addition of pancreatin to aqueous suspensions of **M2** induced cargo delivery due to the progressive enzyme-induced hydrolysis of the grafted polysaccharide. We believe that the development of composite materials based on silica mesoporous microparticles equipped with gate-like systems is an interesting way to prepare smart fabrics showing “zero” release that can be opened at will using appropriate stimuli. These systems are homogenous and offer an efficient way for the cargo protection, opening a wide range of research opportunities for this delivery concept to be applied in several industries.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Additional information about characterization of materials. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*Tel. +34 963877000, ext 78258. E-mail: cararo@upvnet.upv.es.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to express their gratitude to the Generalitat Valenciana (Grisolia scholarship 2011/012, project PROM-ETEO/2009/016), Spanish Government (MINECO Projects AGL2012-39597-C02-01, AGL2012-39597-C02-02 and MAT2012-38429-C04-01) and the CIBER-BBN for their support. ILLA thanks DISTAM and Università degli di Milano for a specialization scholarship. We would also like to thank the Institut de Ciència dels Materials (ICMUV) and to the Microscopy Service of the Universitat Politècnica de València for technical support. We thank Roquette for the Glucidex samples.

ABBREVIATIONS

- N3** = 3-[2-(2-aminoethylamino)-ethylamino]-propyl-trimethoxysilane
- N1** = 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane
- TEOS** = tetraethylorthosilicate
- CTAB** = n-cetyltrimethylammonium bromide
- TEAH3** = sodium hydroxide triethanolamine
- Glu-N1** = alkoxy silane derivative of Glucidex 47

REFERENCES

- (1) Hoffmann, F.; Cornelius, M.; Morell, J.; Froba, M. Silica-Based Mesoporous Organic-Inorganic Hybrid Materials. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **2006**, *45*, 3216–3251.
- (2) Descalzo, A. B.; Martínez-Mañez, R.; Sancenón, F.; Hoffmann, K.; Rurack, K. The Supramolecular Chemistry of Organic–Inorganic Hybrid Materials. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **2006**, *45*, 5924–5948.
- (3) Aznar, E.; Martínez-Mañez, R.; Sancenón, F. Controlled Release Using Mesoporous Materials Containing Gate-Like Scaffoldings. *Expert Opin. Drug Delivery* **2009**, *6*, 643–655.
- (4) Coti, K. K.; Belowich, M. E.; Liong, M.; Ambrogio, M. W.; Lau, Y. A.; Khatib, H. A.; Zink, J. I.; Khashab, N. M.; Stoddart, J. F.

Mechanised Nanoparticles for Drug Delivery. *Nanoscale* **2009**, *1*, 16–39.

(5) Coll, C.; Bernardos, A.; Martínez-Manez, R.; Sancenón, F. Gated Silica Mesoporous Supports for Controlled Release and Signaling Applications. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2013**, *46*, 339–349.

(6) Heikkilä, T.; Salonen, J.; Tuura, J.; Kumar, N.; Salmi, T.; Murzin, D. Y.; Hamdy, M. S.; Mul, G.; Laitinen, L.; Kaukonen, A. M.; Hirvonen, J.; Lehto, V. P. Evaluation of Mesoporous TCPSi, MCM-41, SBA-15, and TUD-1 Materials as API Carriers for Oral Drug Delivery. *Drug Delivery* **2007**, *14*, 337–347.

(7) Carino, I. S.; Pasqua, L.; Testa, F.; Aiello, R.; Puoci, F.; Iemma, F.; Picci, N. Silica-Based Mesoporous Materials as Drug Delivery System for Methotrexate Release. *Drug Delivery* **2007**, *14*, 491–495.

(8) Tang, F.; Li, L.; Chen, D. Mesoporous Silica Nanoparticles: Synthesis, Biocompatibility and Drug Delivery. *Adv. Mater.* **2012**, *24*, 1504–1534.

(9) Kresge, C. T.; Leonowicz, M. E.; Roth, W. J.; Vartuli, J. C.; Beck, J. S. Ordered Mesoporous Molecular Sieves Synthesized by a Liquid-Crystal Template Mechanism. *Nature* **1992**, *359*, 710–712.

(10) Mal, N. K.; Fujiwara, M.; Tanaka, Y. Photocontrolled Reversible Release of Guest Molecules from Coumarin-Modified Mesoporous Silica. *Nature* **2003**, *421*, 350–353.

(11) Agostini, A.; Sancenón, F.; Martínez-Mañez, R.; Marcos, M. D.; Soto, J.; Amorós, P. A Photoactivated Molecular Gate. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2012**, *18*, 12218–12221.

(12) Angelos, S.; Khashab, N. M.; Yang, Y.-W.; Trabolsi, A.; Khatib, H. A.; Stoddart, J. F.; Zink, J. I. Ph Clock-Operated Mechanized Nanoparticles. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 12912–12914.

(13) Casasús, R.; Climent, E.; Marcos, M. D.; Martínez-Mañez, R.; Sancenón, F.; Soto, J.; Amorós, P.; Cano, J. Dual Aperture Control on Ph- and Anion-Driven Supramolecular Nanoscopic Gate-Like Ensembles. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 1903–1917.

(14) Fujiwara, M.; Terashima, S.; Endo, Y.; Shiokawa, K.; Ohue, H. Switching Catalytic Reaction Conducted in Pore Void of Mesoporous Material by Redox Gate Control. *Chem. Commun.* **2006**, *44*, 4635–4637.

(15) Giri, S.; Trewyn, B. G.; Stellmaker, M. P.; Lin, V. S.-Y. Stimuli-Responsive Controlled-Release Delivery System Based on Mesoporous Silica Nanorods Capped with Magnetic Nanoparticles. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 5038–5044.

(16) Fu, Q.; Rao, V. R.; Ista, L. K.; Wu, Y.; Andrzejewski, B. P.; Sklar, L. A.; Ward, T. L.; López, G. P. Control of Molecular Transport through Stimuli-Responsive Ordered Mesoporous Materials. *Adv. Mater.* **2003**, *15*, 1262–1266.

(17) Aznar, E.; Mondragón, L.; Ros-Lis, J. V.; Sancenón, F.; Marcos, M. D.; Martínez-Mañez, R.; Soto, J.; Pérez-Payá, E.; Amorós, P. Finely Tuned Temperature-Controlled Cargo Release Using Paraffin-Capped Mesoporous Silica Nanoparticles. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **2011**, *50*, 11172–11175.

(18) Oroval, M.; Climent, E.; Coll, C.; Erijta, R.; Aviñó, A.; Marcos, M. D.; Sancenón, F.; Martínez-Mañez, R.; Amorós, P. An Aptamer-Gated Silica Mesoporous Material for Thrombin Detection. *Chem. Commun.* **2013**, *49*, 5480–5482.

(19) Schlossbauer, A.; Kect, J.; Bein, T. Biotin–Avidin as a Protease-Responsive Cap System for Controlled Guest Release from Colloidal Mesoporous Silica. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 3092–3095.

(20) Park, C.; Kim, H.; Kim, S.; Kim, C. Enzyme Responsive Nanocontainers with Cyclodextrin Gatekeepers and Synergistic Effects in Release of Guests. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 16614–16615.

(21) Muñoz, B.; Ramila, A.; Pérez-Pariente, J.; Díaz, M.; Vallet-Regí, I. MCM-41 Organic Modification as Drug Delivery Rate Regulator. *Chem. Mater.* **2003**, *15*, 500–503.

(22) Arecchi, A.; Scampicchio, M.; Brenna, O. V.; Mannino, S. Biocatalytic Nylon Nanofibrous Membranes. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2010**, *398*, 3097–3103.

(23) Loh, X. J.; Peh, P.; Liao, S.; Sng, C.; Li, J. Controlled Drug Release from Biodegradable Thermoresponsive Physical Hydrogel Nanofibers. *J. Controlled Release* **2010**, *143*, 175–182.

(24) Venugopal, J.; Ramakrishna, S. Applications of Polymer Nanofibers in Biomedicine and Biotechnology. *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.* **2005**, *125*, 147–158.

(25) Kriegel, C.; Arecchi, A.; Kit, K.; McClements, D. J.; Weiss, J. Fabrication, Functionalization, and Application of Electrospun Biopolymer Nanofibers. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* **2008**, *48*, 775–797.

(26) Rajesh, V.; Dhirendra, S. K. Nanofibers and Their Applications in Tissue Engineering. *Int. J. Nanomed.* **2006**, *1*, 15–30.

(27) Jia, H.; Zhu, G.; Vugrinovich, B.; Kataphinan, W.; Reneker, D. H.; Wang, P. Enzyme-Carrying Polymeric Nanofibers Prepared Via Electrospinning for Use as Unique Biocatalysts. *Biotechnol. Prog.* **2002**, *18*, 1027–1032.

(28) Price, R. L.; Waid, M. C.; Haberstroh, K. M.; Webster, T. J. Selective Bone Cell Adhesion on Formulations Containing Carbon Nanofibers. *Biomaterials* **2003**, *24*, 1877–1887.

(29) Madhugiri, S.; Dalton, A.; Gutierrez, J.; Ferraris, J. P.; Balkus, K. J., Jr. Electrospun MEH-PPV/SBA-15 Composite Nanofibers Using a Dual Syringe Method. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 14531–14538.

(30) Zhuang, X.; Cheng, B.; Kang, W.; Xu, X. Electrospun Chitosan/Gelatin Nanofibers Containing Silver Nanoparticles. *Carbohydr. Polym.* **2010**, *82*, 524–527.

(31) Kim, B. H.; Yang, K. S.; Woo, H. G. Preparation and Electrochemical Properties of Carbon Nanofiber Composite Dispersed with Silver Nanoparticles Using Polyacrylonitrile and Beta-Cyclodextrin. *J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol.* **2011**, *11*, 7193–7197.

(32) Bernardos, A.; Mondragon, L.; Aznar, E.; Marcos, M. D.; Martínez-Manez, R.; Sancenón, F.; Soto, J.; Barat, J. M.; Perez-Paya, E.; Guillem, C.; Amorós, P. Enzyme-Responsive Intracellular Controlled Release Using Nanometric Silica Mesoporous Supports Capped With “Saccharides”. *ACS Nano* **2010**, *4*, 6353–6368.

(33) Bernardos, A.; Aznar, E.; Coll, C.; Martínez-Manez, R.; Barat, J. M.; Marcos, M. D.; Sancenón, F.; Benito, A.; Soto, J. Controlled Release of Vitamin B2 Using Mesoporous Materials Functionalized with Amine-Bearing Gate-Like Scaffoldings. *J. Control Release* **2008**, *131*, 181–189.

(34) Block, E. *Garlic and Other Alliums*; RSC Publishing: Cambridge, U.K., 2010.

(35) Feldberg, R.; Chang, S.; Kotik, A.; Nadler, M.; Neuwirth, Z.; Sundstrom, D.; Thompson, N. In Vitro Mechanism of Inhibition of Bacterial Cell Growth by Allicin. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **1988**, *32*, 1763–1768.

(36) Cabrera, S.; El Haskouri, J.; Guillem, C.; Latorre, J.; Beltrán, A.; Beltrán, D.; Marcos, M. D.; Amorós, P. Generalised Syntheses of Ordered Mesoporous Oxides: The Atrane Route. *Solid State Sci.* **2000**, *2*, 405–420.

(37) Martindale, B.; Neil, L.; Compton, R. Towards the Electrochemical Quantification of the Strength of Garlic. *Analyst* **2011**, *136*, 128–133.

(38) Brunauer, S. E.; P.H. Teller, E. Adsorption of Gases in Multimolecular Layers. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1938**, *60*, 309–319.

(39) Granato, F.; Scampicchio, M.; Bianco, A.; Mannino, S.; Bertarelli, C.; Zerbi, G. Disposable Electrospun Electrodes Based on Conducting Nanofibers. *Electroanalysis* **2008**, *20*, 1374–1377.

(40) Arecchi, A.; Scampicchio, M.; Drusch, S.; Mannino, S. Nanofibrous Membrane Based Tyrosinase-Biosensor for the Detection of Phenolic Compounds. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2010**, *659*, 133–136.

(41) Xing, M.; Zhong, W.; Xu, X.; Thomson, D. Adhesion Force Studies of Nanofibers and Nanoparticles. *Langmuir* **2010**, *26*, 11809–11814.